Lessons From “An Independent Review of ESSA State Plans”

November 30, 2017
Chad Aldeman
Chad.alderman@bellwethereducation.org
Our “theory of action” for the project

ESSA provides states opportunities on a number of fronts…

…But it also presents new risks.

We convened an independent peer review process of state plans in order to:

• Serve as an **independent** review apart from the federal process;

• Capture strengths and weaknesses of each state’s plan; and

• **Provide feedback** to parents, educators, and state policymakers, and advocates.
Our guiding principles for the reviews

1. Compliance with the law is **necessary** but offers an **incomplete** view of state plans.

2. We’re open to a **range of potential approaches** about how to drive positive change for students.

3. The reviews should **inform the conversation** about school improvement in states.

4. We have a **bias towards action**, and we favor **strong state-level systems** rooted in college- and career-readiness.
We created our own rubric to assess elements of high-quality plans

“States should seize ESSA as an opportunity to push for the state education improvements that matter most.”

“That call to action is important for all students, but especially for disadvantaged students, who rely on public schools the most and who have historically been under-served by them.”
We recruited peers with a range of different viewpoints

More than 40 education experts:

- Eight former chief state school officers, 27 with state credentials
- Range of political viewpoints, including representatives from Bush and Obama Administrations and Trump transition team
- Civil rights, disability, and English language learner communities
Overall findings and results

**Overarching strengths**

- Better measures of school quality
- More innovative college- and career-readiness indicators
- Most states included some measure of student growth
- States are continuing to place strong weight on academics

**A few troubling weaknesses**

- Goals that are untethered to long-term visions or ignored in accountability system
- The shift to normative accountability systems – how a school compares to other schools, not an objective standard
- Lack of inclusion of student subgroups
- Lack of clarity on how many schools will be identified for improvement or what actions they will be required to take
This project is in partnership with the Collaborative for Student Success

- Communicating around process, rubric, and peers
- Advocacy around strong and weak plans
- Promotion of comprehensive lessons learned
- Connecting willing peers to media with questions
✓ Best practices, searchable by state and rubric category

✓ Individual state plan analysis

✓ Commentary from peer reviewers

✓ Full report breakdown